

REPORT TITLE:	REMEDIAL WORKS SUMMARY & VALIDATION
At:	10 & 12 Bangor Street Y Felinheli Gwynedd LL56 4JD
Performed By:	Randall & Walsh Associates Ltd 1 st Floor Offices Michael Ward Lynstock Way Lostock Bolton BL6 4SA
Project Reference:	07RB239
On Behalf of:	Quest Gates Ltd 3 rd Floor Sussex House 21-25 Lower Stone Street Maidstone ME15 6YT
Written by:	Catherine Shannon Environmental Geologist
	Clennen
Signature	
Approved by:	Jon Burton Technical Director
	MRut
Signature:	
Issue Status:	Final
Date:	10 August 2009

Randall & Walsh Associates Limited Company Number: 3656434 Registered office: Regency House, 41-51 Chorley New Road, Bolton BL1 4QR



Offices throughout the UK and Ireland



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY	2
1	INTRODUCTION	4
2	CONTAMINANT IMPACT	5
3	REMEDIAL WORKS	5
4	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	6
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	7
6	QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT	9
7	CONCLUSIONS	11
8	RECOMMENDATIONS	11
9	GLOSSARY	12
10	LIMITATIONS	13

Title

Appendix

FIGURES AND PLANS	A
Site Vicinity Map	Figure 1
Remedial Works	Figure 2
SVE Location Points	Figure 3
SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS	В
Soil Analytical Summary	Tables 1
Air Analytical Summary	Tables 2-11
PHOTOGRAPHS	C
LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS	D
SVE MONITORING SUMMARY REPORTS	E



1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Randall & Walsh Associates (RAW Group) were instructed by Quest Gates Ltd to proceed with remedial works and site validation at No's 10 & 12 Bangor Street, Y Felinheli, Gwynedd (Appendix A, Figure 1). Remedial works were required in order to address the release of an unknown quantity of domestic heating oil (kerosene) from the boiler or feed line at No. 10.

The householder of No. 10 moved into the property during January 2005 and during February 2005 the boiler (located against the rear kitchen wall) was removed and the householder noticed contaminated soils in this area. The occupant of No. 12 commented they had noticed hydrocarbon odours since 1998 and had reported it to Gwynedd County Council in 2005.

Due to the elevated concentrations of naphthalene within the ambient air of No. 10 the council recommended the occupants were moved out of the property due to young children living in the property. Whereas the residents of No. 12 remained in occupancy.

Previous reports made available to RAW were: '10 & 12 Bangor Street, Remediation Strategy' by Smith Grant LLP and 'Gwynedd Council Environmental Protection Act (EPA) 1990 Part IIA Section 78E Remediation Notice' dated 7 August 2007. The investigation conducted by Smith Grant LLP identified a potential risk to human health of the residents of No's 10 & 12 Bangor Street, through inhalation of hydrocarbon vapours, with the primary risk driver being naphthalene with a threshold concentration of 2.44 μ g/m³. Further details of the targets for contaminants of concern are presented in the table in section 1.2. Gwynedd County Council (GCC) issued a remediation notice to the owner of No. 10 Bangor Street (Mr Nelmes) under Part IIA of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 and Smith Grant have also provided a remediation strategy document for the site.

1.2 Objective

The objective of the remedial works was to mitigate the risks identified in the RAW Spill Investigation Report dated 16th November 2007 (primarily risks to human health and also property structures and third party property), thereby restoring residential amenity to the property. The principal objective with respect to addressing the risks posed to human health was to achieve concentrations of hydrocarbons in the ambient air in the properties below the relevant threshold concentrations. The table below confirms the threshold concentrations for the contaminants of concern.

Contaminant of concern	Threshold Concentration µg/m ³	Threshold Concentration mg/m ³
Benzene	2.81	0.00281
Toluene	219	0.219
Ethylbenzene	770	0.770
Total Xylenes	185	0.185
Naphthalene	2.44	0.00244
Aromatic C8-C10	200	0.200
Aliphatic C8-C10	1000	1
Aliphatic C10-C12	1000	1
Aliphatic C12-C16	1000	1



This report provides a summary of remedial and validation works undertaken at the property. Supporting information is provided within the appendices.

2 CONTAMINANT IMPACT

Site investigation activities undertaken by RAW Group confirmed the presence of hydrocarbon contamination within the area of the spill origin and along the foundations of the boundary kitchen wall. Evidence of hydrocarbon impact to masonry, specifically within the lime mortar, was identified via penetrative PID testing. The analysis of the ambient air within the kitchens of both properties identified the presence of TPH contamination with a TPH concentration of 2.95mg/m³ in property No. 12 and 0.76 mg/m³ in property No. 10. Further details of the RAW investigation works and the air sample results obtained are presented in the RAW report dated 16^{th} November 2007.

3 REMEDIAL WORKS

In line with RAW's recommendations, remedial works were undertaken between January and October 2008 and included the following:

- The excavation of the grossly impacted soils at the spill origin and impacted soils within the kitchen of both properties. Within property No. 10 the excavation was advanced to a maximum depth of 0.70mBGL (metres below ground level) and within property No. 12 to a maximum depth of 0.80mBGL. Validation soil samples were collected from the sides of the excavation prior to reinstatement;
- The internal foundations and masonry was treated with a bio-remedial solution which was washed onto the impacted masonry;
- Removal of impacted masonry from the kitchen of No.10 Bangor Street; and
- An SVE system was installed within impacted soils at depth beneath the excavations in both kitchens and at depth externally beneath the spill origin. During the treatment period, additional SVE treatment points were installed into the cavity of the kitchen walls above ground to remove hydrocarbon vapours being emitted from the lime mortar.

Please refer to Figure 2: Remedial works and Figure 3: SVE location points within Appendix A, which depict the area of excavation and the locations of all validation soil samples collected. Details of the works are reported below and photographs showing the remedial works are provided within Appendix C.

3.1 Physical Works

In line with RAW's recommendations, hydrocarbon contaminated soils internally and externally, located adjacent to the foundations of the house at No. 10 and No. 12 Bangor Street, were excavated to a maximum depth of 0.80mBGL to the base of the property foundations.

Following the excavations, the external and internal foundation masonry was treated with a bio-remedial solution to address any residual hydrocarbon contamination impacting the structures. Following the recommendations of the structural engineer, it was recommended that the replacement of the wall was not appropriate given the structural condition of the wall, therefore an SVE system was installed to treat impacted soils and



the cavity wall bounding the two kitchens in-situ which is discussed further in section3.3 below.

All hydrocarbon contaminated soils were collected from site by a licensed waste contractor for disposal at a suitable waste facility in accordance with good waste management practice and duty of care. Copies of waste transfer documentation are available on request.

3.2 Re-instatement

All excavated areas were reinstated to original specification and all fixtures in the kitchen were reinstated to original specification.

3.3 Soil Vapour Extraction (SVE) System

RAW installed an SVE system to remediate the soils at the properties using this in-situ technique which comprised of 7 no. vapour extraction points. 3 no. SVE points were located within the soils beneath the kitchen floor of No. 10, and 2 no. SVE points were within the soils below the kitchen of no. 12. A further 2 no. SVE points were located within the rear garden of No. 10 adjacent to the third party property. During the remediation of the soils, a total of 12 no. SVE points were also installed into the cavity wall between the two properties. Figure 3 within Appendix A illustrates the extraction point locations associated with the SVE system. Summary data from the SVE extraction points in the soils and structures are provided in Appendix E.

Graph 1 below provides an illustration of the general reduction in VOC concentrations determined using a MiniRae 2000 Photo-ionisation Detector (PID) calibrated on 100ppm isobutylene, used to obtain measurements from each SVE extraction point in the soils over the treatment period.

Graph 1: VOC concentrations measured in SVE extraction points 1 -7 within insitu treated soils



Graph 1 above and the graphs provided with the summary data sheets in Appendix E confirm the reduction in VOC concentrations during the SVE treatment of the soils and structures at both No.10 and No.12 Bangor Street.



3.4 Internal Air Quality Assessment

RAW Group mobilised to site on 30th October 2008 to collect validation air samples using Tenax thermal desorption tubes. On this occasion samples of the ambient air at both properties were collected over a seven day time period and a return visit was made to site to collect the Tenax thermal desorption tubes on 6th November 2008. Final validation air samples were collected using Tenax thermal desorption tubes on the 7th April 2009 with air sampling having been undertaken over a two week period to achieve the required detection limits for naphthalene. On both monitoring occasions Tenax thermal desorption tubes were used to collect samples of ambient air within the kitchens and the top of the stairs within both properties. Further details of the sampling and analysis are provided in section 4.2 below.

4 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

4.1 Soil Analysis

A total of 8 no. validation soil samples were analysed for speciated Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) across the C_6 - C_{35} carbon range by GC-FID and the VOCs; benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene and xylenes (BTEX)) by GC-MS. All soil analysis was performed by Scientific Analysis Laboratories Ltd (SAL) using UKAS and MCERTS approved analytical techniques where available.

All soil samples collected from the site were transported to the laboratory under appropriate preservation and chain-of-custody procedures.

4.2 Air Analysis

Tenax thermal desorption tubes were used to collect passive (or diffuse) samples of ambient air to assess the concentration of VOCs within the kitchen and upstairs rooms in both properties. The samples were submitted to SAL for analysis. Samples were obtained in general accordance with the method outlined in BS EN1441-2:2004 – "Indoor air quality: Diffusive samplers for the determination of concentrations of gases and vapours – Guide for selection, use and maintenance".

All air samples collected from the site were transported to the laboratory under appropriate preservation and chain-of-custody procedures.

4.3 Quality Assurance / Quality Control

As part of the RAW Group Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) programme, samples were collected to evaluate the integrity (and assess the accuracy) of the sampling and analysis process. The QA/QC samples collected are summarised in the table below:

QA/QC type	Sample Name	Analysis	Purpose
Field duplicate (soil)	QS-1 (V-6 0.8m)	TPH, BTEX	Ensuring a representative sample is collected, evaluating differences in soil heterogeneity and ensuring the integrity of the sampling and analysis process
Field Duplicate (air)	QA-1 (VA-3) QA-1 (VA-7)	Air analysis suite	Ensuring a representative sample is collected and ensuring the integrity of the sampling and analysis process



5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Soil Analytical Results

Concentrations of TPH and BTEX reported for the soil samples collected from site are presented in Table 1, Appendix B and laboratory reports are provided in Appendix D.

Following the excavation of grossly contaminated soils within the kitchen of the two properties, validation soil samples were collected. The soils returned concentrations below laboratory detection limits with the exception of V-3 at 0.70mBGL and V-4 at 0.70mBGL which recorded TPH concentrations of 5 and 4,400mg/kg respectively. The elevated concentration of 4,400mg/kg was recorded within soils beneath the property's foundations; however, the samples were collected prior to the installation of the SVE system. As indicated in section 3.3 above, upon remediation of the soils using the SVE system, the concentrations of VOCs within the soils were significantly reduced from an average of 44ppm in April 2008 to an average of 7 ppm in October 2008.

5.2 Air Analytical Results

The concentrations of hydrocarbon compounds recorded in the validation air samples collected from site are presented within Appendix B (Tables 2 - 11) and laboratory reports are provided in Appendix D.

All eight of the ambient air samples (VA-1 to VA-8) collected within the kitchens and upstairs of both properties returned no detectable concentrations of the principal contaminant of concern (naphthalene). The final validation air samples collected from both properties in April 2009 confirmed that there were no detectable concentrations of naphthalene in either property above the agreed threshold concentration of 0.00244 mg/m³.

Other hydrocarbon compounds were identified in the laboratory analysis undertaken on both validation sampling occasions (including aliphatic TPH C_6 - C_8 , C_8 - C_{10} , C_{10} - C_{12} and C_{12} - C_{16} xylenes and toluene), however, in all cases the detectable concentrations were below the threshold criteria as outlined in section 1.2 of this report.

5.3 Quality Assurance / Quality Control (QA/QC)

The results of the QA/QC samples are provided in the analytical summary tables section (Appendix B). The validation field duplicate and original soil sample collected (QS-1/V-6 0.8m) both returned BTEX and TPH concentrations below laboratory detection limits.

Validation air sample VA-3 and duplicate sample QA-1 both returned similar concentrations of aliphatic TPH C_{10} - C_{12} and C_{12} - C_{16} found in the ambient air of the property. During the final validation air sampling round in April 2009, parent sample VA-7 and duplicate sample QA-1 both returned similar concentrations of aliphatic TPH C_8 - C_{10} , C_{10} - C_{12} and C_{12} - C_{16} .

Copies of all analytical results including the results of the QA/QC sampling can be found in the appended laboratory reports (Appendix D).



6 QUALITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT

6.1 Risk Assessment

This section provides an assessment of the risks associated with the residual contamination identified at site. In line with current guidelines, this takes the form of a qualitative source – pathway – receptor assessment which enables the construction of a conceptual site model. The conceptual site model is a simplification of reality, which aims to identify the key processes that affect the contaminant transport behaviour. Within a qualitative risk assessment context it is simply an identification of the potential contaminants sources, pathways and receptors.



If any one of these elements is missing then it is considered that there is no significant risk associated with the contamination and the site cannot be determined as being contaminated land.

Following completion of the initial intrusive investigation the potential source-pathwayreceptor linkages have been identified as shown below:



	Contaminant Source	Pathway	Receptors	Pre- Remediation Qualitative Risk	Comments	Post Remediation Qualitative Risk
1	Hydrocarbons in soils (kerosene)	Direct contact with contaminated soils	Site Residents	Medium	Removal of grossly contaminated soils and remediation of impacted soils and masonry has reduced the risk to site residents to low.	Low
2	Volatile hydrocarbons (VOCs including naphthalene)	Inhalation of vapours emitted from impacted materials.	Current and future users of the site	High	Removal of grossly contaminated soils and treatment of masonry has removed the contaminant source reducing the risk to current and future site users to low. Validation air sampling has demonstrated the efficacy of the remediation at both properties reducing naphthalene concentrations to below the agreed threshold criteria.	Low
3	Hydrocarbons in soils (kerosene)	Migration of contaminants through soils to groundwater	Groundwater	Medium	Removal of grossly contaminated soils and validation of the excavation has confirmed removal of impacted soils and reduced the risk to groundwater to low.	Low
4	Hydrocarbons in soils (kerosene)	Direct contact with contaminated soils	Property structure	High	Removal of grossly contaminated soils and remediation of impacted soils and structures has reduced the risks to property structures to low.	Low
5	Hydrocarbons in soils (kerosene)	Migration of contaminants through soils	Off-site receptors, third party property	Medium to high	The oil spill originated at no. 10 and was found to impact the property of no. 12. The removal and in-situ treatment of grossly contaminated soils has reduced the risk to third party property to low.	Low
6	Hydrocarbons in soils (kerosene)	Migration of contaminants through soils to groundwater	Plants	Medium to High	Removal of grossly contaminated soils and remediation of impacted soils removed the risk to plants to low.	Low

The above source-pathway-receptor assessment indicates that the remedial works have been successful in eliminating the potential risks to the identified receptors. In summary following completion of remedial works and validation the risks have been identified as follows:

- Low risk to human health of occupants;
- Low risk to groundwater;
- Low risk to property structures;
- Low risk to third party property; and
- Low risk to plants.

The validation works undertaken at the property have demonstrated that the concentrations of the contaminants of concern including naphthalene have been reduced to concentrations below the agreed threshold criteria as set out in Section 1.2 of this report.

6.2 Explanation of the Risk Classification

A qualitative risk classification is provided for all of the identified pollutant linkages and these are explained as outlined in the following table.



Classification	Interpretation
High	The nature of the contaminant source, the pathway and the vulnerability of the receptors are such that with little or no mitigation works undertaken it is considered highly likely that a significant risk exists to site users, buildings, services and environmental receptors both on and off site. Further investigation and assessment is required in order to quantify the risks posed to the receptor.
Medium-High	The nature of the contaminant source, the pathway and the vulnerability of the receptors are such that with little or no mitigation works undertaken it is considered likely that a significant risk exists to site users, buildings, services or environmental receptors both on and off site. Further investigation and assessment is required in order to quantify the risks posed to the receptor.
Medium	The nature of the contaminant source, the pathway and the vulnerability of the receptors are such that with little or no mitigation works undertaken it is considered likely that a risk exists to site users, buildings, services and environmental receptors both on and off site. Further investigation and assessment is required in order to quantify the risks posed to the receptor.
Low-Medium	The nature of the contaminant source, the pathway and the vulnerability of the receptors are such that with little or no mitigation works undertaken it is considered possible that a risk exists to site users, buildings, services and environmental receptors both on and off site. Some further investigation and assessment is required in order to quantify the risks posed to the receptor.
Low	Owing to the absence of any identifiable source, pathway, or the lack of any vulnerable receptor, it is considered unlikely that any risk exists to site users, buildings, services and environmental receptors both on and off site. No further investigation or assessment is required.

7 CONCLUSIONS

RAW Group were instructed to undertake and validate remedial works at no. 10 and no. 12 Bangor Street, Y Felinheli, Gwynedd, further to the release of an unknown quantity of kerosene from No. 10 first reported to Gwynedd Council in 2005.

Remedial works undertaken by RAW Group consisted of the excavation and disposal of contaminated soils within the kitchens of No. 10 and No. 12. The exposed foundations and masonry were treated with a bio-remedial solution. A soil vapour extraction (SVE) system was installed in the residually contaminated soils at both properties to remove hydrocarbon vapours from the soils at depth beneath both kitchens. The SVE system was also installed into the cavity wall above ground between the two kitchens to remove hydrocarbon vapours being emitted from residually impacted lime mortar.

All of the ambient air samples collected by RAW within the kitchens and upstairs of both properties returned no detectable concentrations of the principal contaminant of concern (naphthalene). The final validation air samples collected from both properties in April 2009 confirmed that there were no detectable concentrations of naphthalene in either property above the agreed threshold concentration of 0.00244 mg/m³. Other hydrocarbon compounds were identified in the laboratory analysis undertaken on both validation sampling occasions, however, in all cases the detectable concentrations were below the threshold criteria required by Gwynedd County Council. Therefore validation air sampling has confirmed that naphthalene and other hydrocarbon compounds related to the kerosene release are no longer considered to pose a significant risk to human health at either no. 10 and no. 12 Bangor Street.



On completion of the remedial works, RAW consider that the risks posed to surrounding environmental receptors, property structures, third party property and the health of the occupants, attributable to the spill have been reduced to acceptable levels.

8 RECOMMENDATIONS

RAW Group is satisfied that remedial works undertaken on this site meet the objectives outlined in Section 1.2, reducing the risks posed to building structures, health and safety of the residents and potential surrounding environmental receptors to an acceptable level, thereby restoring residential amenity to the property. It is therefore considered that no further works are required on this site at this time.

9 GLOSSARY

Carbon range	Grouping of hydrocarbons between a minimum and maximum number, applied to the carbon atoms in the chain linked together in the hydrocarbon molecule (e.g C_8 - C_{35}).
Controlled Waters	(as defined by Water Resources Act 1991, Part III, Section 104) All rivers, canals, lakes, groundwaters, estuaries and coastal waters to three nautical miles from the shore.
Hydrocarbon	Hydrocarbons are compounds that contain hydrogen and carbon. The nature of which, either gas or liquid is distinguished by molecular structure (number of carbon and hydrogen atoms in each molecule).
Laboratory detection limits	Minimum levels detectable using the designated laboratory techiques.
Migration/migrated	Flow of contamination from one place to another.
Pathway	A route along which a particle of water, substance or contaminant moves through the environment.
QA/QC	Quality assurance and qualilty control procedures.
Receptor	An entity/organism or a controlled water that is being or could be harmed by a potential pollutant.
Borehole	Hole drilled or augered into the ground to otain information on the soils or aquifers to delineate contamination and obtain groundwater samples.
Source	Origin of any contamination.
ТРН	Total Petroluem Hydrocarbons.
UKAS	United Kingdom Accreditation Service.
MCERTS	Monitoring certification scheme requested by the Environment Agency



10 LIMITATIONS

The samples collected and conclusions reported herein are merely believed broadly representative of the observed site conditions at the time of collection. Whilst every attempt is made to adequately characterise site conditions, no warranty can be supplied for the contents of this report as a result of laboratory analysis performed by subcontractors, variations in heterogeneous or variable subsurface features, contaminant distributions or as a result of unencountered details. Environmental Site Assessments are by their nature an inexact science and all care should be taken in any interpretation of any aspect of the findings contained herein.

The assessment undertaken considers only those areas within the boundaries of the sites concerned. Care should be taken with evaluating any remedial requirements or costs and the existence or presence of off-site contaminant impact may need to be further considered. In addition, the investigation only considers those potential subsurface contaminants evaluated in this investigation.

Randall & Walsh Associates Ltd (RAW Group) maintain intellectual copyright of the contents of this report and grant exclusive use of the material contained herein to QuestGates Ltd. No unauthorised distribution shall be made to any third parties without the prior consent of both RAW and Quest Gates Ltd. No unauthorised reproduction, transmission, scanning, photocopying or storage in a retrieval system of any nature shall be made without the prior written consent of both RAW and Quest Gates Ltd.

This report has been prepared solely for the use of Quest Gates Ltd and their appointed agents. If any other third party comes into possession of this report they rely on it at their peril and the authors offer no duty of care or skill, warranty (either implied or not), condition or other term, any duty at common law for any loss of profit or any indirect, special or consequential loss, damage, costs, expenses or other claims which arise out of or in connection with the use of this report for any purpose.



APPENDIX A FIGURES AND PLANS













APPENDIX B SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

TABLE 1: VALIDATION SOIL ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND BTEX

		Destono	Toluono	Ethid honzono	Total Vidence			Petroleum H	lydrocarbons			трн
Sample ID and Depth (m)	Date Collected	PUPZUPG	allanio	еплу-репzеле	I Otal Ayrenes	°2-°2	>C8-C10	>C10-C12	>C12-C16	>C ₁₆ -C ₂₁	>C21-C35	>C6-C35
		mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
V-1 0.70m	25-Mar-08	ΠN	ΩN	QN	QN	ND	QN	ΠN	+	QN	ND	F
V-2 0.70m	25-Mar-08	ND	ΟN	ND	DN	ND	QN	ND	ND	QN	ND	DN
V-3 0.70m	25-Mar-08	DN	ΠN	ΠN	QN	ND	QN	۲	4	QN	ND	5
V-4 0.70m	25-Mar-08	QN	ΠN	ΩN	QN	ND	4	580	3400	310	67	4400
V-5 0.40m	04-Apr-08	QN	ΠN	ΩN	QN	ND	QN	ND	DN	QN	DN	ΩN
V-6 0.80m	04-Apr-08	ND	ΟN	ND	DN	ND	DN	ND	ND	QN	ND	DN
V-7 0.50m	04-Apr-08	ND	ΠN	ΠN	DN	ND	QN	ND	ND	QN	ND	DN
QS-1 duplicat	te of V-6 0.80m	ND	ΠN	ND	QN	ND	ΠN	ND	ND	QN	ND	ND
Method de	tection limits	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.1	1	1	+	1	+	
Lab Me:	thodology		Headspac	se / GC-MS				So	Ivent Extraction / GC-F	EID		

NOTES: I) The locations of all soil samples are depicted on the Site Map. ii) "ND" denotes sample tested below taboratory method detection limits. iii) TPH - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TABLE 2: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

Analyte Benzene
Benzene thyl Benzene
ta/Para-Xylene
yl-tert-Butyl-Ether
n-butane*†
n-hexane
Naphthalene
Ortho-Xylene
Toluene
C5-C6 aliphatic
C6-C8 aliphatic
28-C10 aliphatic
210-C12 aliphatic
012-C16 aliphatic
C5-C7 aromatic
C7-C8 aromatic
C8-C10 aromatic
10-C12 aromatic
012-C16 aromatic

Duration of air sampling	Air sampling pump rate	Analysed volume of air	T/N

10080 mins N/A cm3/min N/A litres

No Threshold

TABLE 3: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

sholds	ng/m ³) concentration mg/m ³	.0028 <0.0048	0.770 <0.0043	0.185* <0.0047	N/T <0.011	N/T <0.023	N/T <0.011	.00244 <0.004).185* <0.0047	0.219 <0.0045	N/T <0.023	N/T <0.023	<1 <0.023	<1 <0.023	<1 0.05	N/T <0.024	N/T <0.023	<0.2 <0.023	N/T <0.023	000 0
Thr	Analyte (n	Benzene	Ethyl Benzene	Meta/Para-Xylene <	Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	n-butane*†	n-hexane	Naphthalene <0	Ortho-Xylene	Toluene	C5-C6 aliphatic	C6-C8 aliphatic	C8-C10 aliphatic	C10-C12 aliphatic	C12-C16 aliphatic	C5-C7 aromatic	C7-C8 aromatic	C8-C10 aromatic	C10-C12 aromatic	C12-C16 aromatic
	Location and Date	Property No. 10 Upstairs	30/10/08 to 6/11/08																	
	Sample Ref	VA-2																		

sampling	pump rate	ume of ai	Z
ation of air	sampling	alysed vol	
Dura	Air	An	

TABLE 4: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

Location and Date	Analyte	Thresholds (mg/m ³)	Concentration mg/m ³
Property No. 12 Kitchen	Benzene	<0.0028	<0.0048
30/10/08 to 6/11/08	Ethyl Benzene	<0.770	<0.0043
	Meta/Para-Xylene	<0.185*	<0.0047
	Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	N/T	<0.011
	n-butane*†	N/T	<0.023
	n-hexane	N/T	<0.011
	Naphthalene	<0.00244	<0.004
	Ortho-Xylene	<0.185*	<0.0047
	Toluene	<0.219	<0.0045
	C5-C6 aliphatic	N/T	<0.023
	C6-C8 aliphatic	N/T	<0.023
	C8-C10 aliphatic	<1	<0.023
	C10-C12 aliphatic	-1	0.036
	C12-C16 aliphatic	-1	0.34
	C5-C7 aromatic	N/T	<0.024
	C7-C8 aromatic	N/T	<0.023
	C8-C10 aromatic	<0.2	<0.023
	C10-C12 aromatic	N/T	<0.023
	C12-C16 aromatic	N/T	<0.023

Duration of air sampling	Air sampling pump rate	Analysed volume of air	T/N

TABLE 5: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

esholds	ng/m ³) concentration mg/m ³	.0028 <0.0048	0.770 <0.0043	0.185* <0.0047	N/T <0.011	N/T <0.023	N/T <0.011	.00244 <0.004	0.185* <0.0047	0.219 <0.0045	N/T <0.023	N/T <0.023	<1 <0.023	<1 0.023	<1 0.095	N/T <0.024	N/T <0.023	<0.2 <0.023	N/T <0.023	
Thr	Analyte (n	Benzene	Ethyl Benzene	Meta/Para-Xylene <	Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	n-butane*†	n-hexane	Naphthalene <0	Ortho-Xylene <	Toluene	C5-C6 aliphatic	C6-C8 aliphatic	C8-C10 aliphatic	C10-C12 aliphatic	C12-C16 aliphatic	C5-C7 aromatic	C7-C8 aromatic	C8-C10 aromatic	C10-C12 aromatic	Oto Ote cromotic
	Location and Date	Property No. 12 Upstairs	30/10/08 to 6/11/08																	
	Sample Ref	VA-4																		

sampling	pump rate	ume of ai	Z
ation of air	sampling	alysed vol	
Dura	Air	An	

TABLE 6: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

Concontration	Concentration mg/m ³	<0.0048	<0.0043	<0.0047	<0.011	<0.023	<0.011	<0.004	<0.0047	<0.0045	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	0.041	0.36	<0.024	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
Thresholds	(mg/m ³)	<0.0028	<0.770	<0.185*	N/T	N/T	N/T	<0.00244	<0.185*	<0.219	N/T	N/T	۰ ۲	۰ ۲	۰ ۲	N/T	N/T	<0.2	N/T	N/T
	Analyte	Benzene	Ethyl Benzene	Meta/Para-Xylene	Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	n-butane*†	n-hexane	Naphthalene	Ortho-Xylene	Toluene	C5-C6 aliphatic	C6-C8 aliphatic	C8-C10 aliphatic	C10-C12 aliphatic	C12-C16 aliphatic	C5-C7 aromatic	C7-C8 aromatic	C8-C10 aromatic	C10-C12 aromatic	C12-C16 aromatic
	Location and Date	Duplicate property No. 12 kitchen	30/10/08 to 6/11/08																	
	Sample Ref	QA-1																		

Duration of air sampling Air sampling pump rate Analysed volume of air Mrr	

TABLE 7: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

ids Contraction	3) Concentration mg/m ³	28 <0.0024	0 <0.0022	5* <0.0024	<0.0056	<0.023	<0.011	44 <0.0020	5* <0.0024	9 <0.0023	<0.011	<0.11	<0.11	0.018	0.062	<0.012	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
Thresho	(mg/m	<0.002	<0.77	<0.185	T/N	T/N	T/N	<0.002	<0.185	<0.21	T/N	T/N		۰ ۲	۰ ۲	N/T	T/N	<0.2	T/N	NIT
	Analyte	Benzene	Ethyl Benzene	Meta/Para-Xylene	Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	n-butane*†	n-hexane	Naphthalene	Ortho-Xylene	Toluene	C5-C6 aliphatic	C6-C8 aliphatic	C8-C10 aliphatic	C10-C12 aliphatic	C12-C16 aliphatic	C5-C7 aromatic	C7-C8 aromatic	C8-C10 aromatic	C10-C12 aromatic	C12-C16 aromatic
	Location and Date	No. 10 kitchen	24/03/09 to 07/04/09																	
	Sample Ref	VA-5																		

		~	
sampling	pump rate	ume of ai	Z
ration of air	ir sampling	nalysed vol	
DU	A	A	

TABLE 8: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

			Thresholds	Concentration
Sample Ref	Location and Date	Analyte	(mg/m°)	mg/m ³
0-A-6	No. 10 Landing	Benzene	<0.0028	<0.0024
	24/03/09 to 07/04/09	Ethyl Benzene	<0.770	<0.0022
		Meta/Para-Xylene	<0.185*	<0.0024
		Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	N/T	<0.0056
		1-putane*†	N/T	<0.023
		n-hexane	N/T	<0.011
		Naphthalene	<0.00244	<0.0020
		Ortho-Xylene	<0.185*	<0.0024
		Toluene	<0.219	0.0023
		C5-C6 aliphatic	N/T	<0.011
		C6-C8 aliphatic	N/T	<0.11
		C8-C10 aliphatic	<1	<0.11
		C10-C12 aliphatic	<1	<0.11
		C12-C16 aliphatic	, L	0.024
		C5-C7 aromatic	N/T	<0.012
		C7-C8 aromatic	N/T	<0.011
		C8-C10 aromatic	<0.2	<0.011
		C10-C12 aromatic	N/T	<0.011
		C12-C16 aromatic	N/T	<0.011

Duration of air sampling	Air sampling pump rate	Analysed volume of air	T/N

TABLE 9: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

			Thresholds	Concentration
Sample Ref	Location and Date	Analyte	(mg/m [°])	mg/m ³
VA-7	No. 12 Kitchen	Benzene	<0.0028	<0.0024
	24/03/09 to 07/04/09	Ethyl Benzene	<0.770	<0.0022
		Meta/Para-Xylene	<0.185*	0.003
		Methyl-tert-Butyl-Ether	N/T	<0.0056
		n-butane*†	LΝ	<0.023
		n-hexane	N/T	<0.011
		Naphthalene	<0.00244	<0.0020
		Ortho-Xylene	<0.185*	<0.0024
		Toluene	<0.219	0.003
		C5-C6 aliphatic	N/T	<0.011
		C6-C8 aliphatic	N/T	0.018
		C8-C10 aliphatic		0.035
		C10-C12 aliphatic	<۲ ۲	0.14
		C12-C16 aliphatic	 - 	0.048
		C5-C7 aromatic	N/T	<0.012
		C7-C8 aromatic	N/T	<0.011
		C8-C10 aromatic	<0.2	<0.011
		C10-C12 aromatic	N/T	<0.011
		C12-C16 aromatic	N/T	<0.011

sampling	pump rate	lume of air	ΓN
Duration of air	Air sampling	Analysed vol	

TABLE 10: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

1
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
Meta/Para-Xyler
Methyl-tert-Butyl-E
n-butane*†
n-hexane
Naphthalene
Ortho-Xylene
Toluene
C5-C6 aliphatic
C6-C8 aliphatic
C8-C10 aliphati
C10-C12 aliphat
C12-C16 aliphat
C5-C7 aromatic
C7-C8 aromatio
C8-C10 aromati
C10-C12 aromat
C12-C16 aromat

air sampling	ng pump rate	volume of ai	ΓN
Duration of	Air samplir	Analysed	

TABLE 11: AIR ANALYTICAL SUMMARY PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND VOCs (Tenax tube sampling media)

splot	m ³) Concentration mg/m ³	228 <0.0024	70 <0.0022	85* 0.003	T <0.0056	T <0.023	T <0.011	244 <0.0020	85* <0.0024	0.003	T <0.011	T <0.011	0.033	0.12	0.056	T <0.012	T <0.011	.2	т <0.011	-0.011
Threst	Analyte (mg/	Benzene <0.00	Ethyl Benzene <0.7	Aeta/Para-Xylene <0.1	thyl-tert-Butyl-Ether N/	n-butane*† N/	n-hexane N/	Naphthalene <0.00	Ortho-Xylene <0.1	Toluene <0.2	C5-C6 aliphatic N/	C6-C8 aliphatic N/	C8-C10 aliphatic <1	210-C12 aliphatic	212-C16 aliphatic	C5-C7 aromatic N/	C7-C8 aromatic N/	C8-C10 aromatic <0.	210-C12 aromatic N/	312-C16 aromatic
	Location and Date	Duplicate property No. 12 kitchen	24/03/09 to 07/04/09		Me															
	Sample Ref	QA-1																		

Duration of air sampling	Air sampling pump rate	Analysed volume of air	T/N



APPENDIX C PHOTOGRAPHS

		RAW
		Randall and Walsh Associates Limited 1 st Floor Offices Michael Ward Lynstock Way Lostock Bolton BL6 4SA
		Client: QuestGates Ltd Proiect: 10 & 12 BANGOR STREET
Photograph 1 : The excavated floor in the kitchen of No. 10 to remove impacted soils to foundation level.	Photograph 2 : The excavated floor in the kitchen of No. 12 to remove impacted soils to foundation level.	Y FELINHELI GWYNEDD
		Project No. 07RB239
Photograph 3 : View of the exterior SVE system to treat soils beneath the ground.	Photograph 4: Two SVE points installed in the soils within the kitchen of No.10.	S:projects/2007/07tb239/Photographs

		RAW
		Randall and Walsh Associates Limited 1 st Floor Offices Michael Ward Lynstock Way Lostock Bolton BL6 4SA
		Client: QuestGates Ltd
Photograph 5 : View of the SVE points installed into the soils within the kitchen of No. 12.	Photograph 6 : View of the SVE system installed into the wall within property No. 10.	Y FELINHELI Y FELINHELI GWYNEDD
		Project No. 07RB239
Photograph 7 : View of the SVE system installed into the wall within property No. 12.	Photograph 8 : View of the reinstated kitchen floor and wall in property No.10.	S: projects/2007/07/tb239/Photographs



APPENDIX D LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS

Scientific Analysis Laboratories

Certificate of Analysis

Report Number:	128204-1
Date of Report:	04-Apr-2008
Client:	RAW Consulting, 1st Floor Offices, Michael Ward, Lynstock Way, Lostock, Bolton. BL6 4SA
Client Contact: Client Job Reference: Client Site Reference: Client Purchase Order:	Mr David Turner 07RB239 Nelmes y Felinheli 9907393
Date Job Received at SAL: Date Analysis Started: Date Analysis Completed:	27-Mar-2008 31-Mar-2008 03-Apr-2008

The results reported relate to samples received at the laboratory

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS or MCERTS accreditation

This report should not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory Tests covered by this certificate were conducted in accordance with SAL SOPs

Key to symbols used in this report:

W: Analysis was sub-contracted and performed at another SAL Laboratory

S: Analysis was sub-contracted

N: Analysis is not UKAS accredited

U: Analysis is UKAS accredited

M: Analysis is MCERTS accredited

Report checked and authorised by:

Mr Ross Walker Assistant Customer Services Manager



Index to caveats used in this report

Value	Description
AR	As Received
A105	Assisted dried at 105C
13	Results have been blank corrected.

Notes:

Fill samples are outside the scope of our accreditation. Results are UKAS only

SAL Re	eference: 12	8204							
Pro	ject Site: Ne	elmes	y Feli	nheli					
Customer Re	eference: 07	RB23	39						
Soil	Ar	alyse	ed as S	Soil					
MCERIS Pre	paration								
				forence	129204 004	129204 002	129204 002	129204 004	129204 005
	Customer	Sam	DAL Re	forence	120204 001 V_1	120204 002 V-2	120204 003 V-3	120204 004 V_A	120204 005 BH_1
	oustomer	Uan	Test	Sample	AR	AR	AR	AR	
				Туре	Clav	Clav	Clay	Clay	Clav
			Date S	Sampled	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008
				Depth	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.8
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol					
Moisture @	Grav (1	0.1	%	N	12	11	12	9.1	14
105 C	Dec) (105								
	C)								
	£	0004							
SAL Re	inet Siter No	8204	V Fali	nhali					
Pro	foronoo: 07	ennes	s y reili 20	nnell					
Customer Re	elefence. 07	ND2.	59						
Soil	Δr	alve	a a a a	Soil					
	naration	laryse	Ju 23 C						
MOLITIOTIC	paration								
		5	SAL Re	eference	128204 006	128204 007	128204 008	128204 009	128204 010
	Customer	Sam	ple Re	eference	BH-1	BH-1	BH-2	BH-2	BH-3
			Test	Sample	AR	AR	AR	AR	AR
				Туре	Sand	Sand	Clay	Fill	Clay
			Date S	Sampled	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008
				Depth	2.5	3.4	0.9	3.3	0.9
	1			1	1				
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol					
Moisture @	Grav (1	0.1	%	N	13	11	14	11	12
105 C	Dec) (105								
	0)								
	oforonoou 1	2020	14						
		2020)4 οου Γι	linhali					
FIC			25 y Ft 220	emmen					
Customer R	elelence.		239						
Sail		hadha		Cail					
	F	Mary	seu as	5011					
MCERIS Pr	eparation								
						400004 0	44 400004 4	400004	010
			0		SAL Referen	ce 128204 0	11 128204 (128204	013
			Custo	omer Sa	mple keteren	ce BH-3	BH-4	BH-4	<u>+</u>
					rest Samp	AK		AR	
					Iy				2000
						ea 25-MAR-20	25-MAR-2	25-MAR-	2008
					Dep	otn 2.3	0.9	2.1	
.						•			
Determinand	ד ב	echr	nique	LO	U Units Symb	IOI			

6.4

%

Ν

12

7.7

Moisture @ 105 C Grav (1 Dec) (105 C) 0.1

Soil Analysed as Soil BTEX (MCERTS)

SAL Reference 128204 001 128204 002 128204 003 128204 004 128204 005 **Customer Sample Reference** V-1 V-2 V-3 V-4 BH-1 Test Sample A105 A105 A105 A105 A105 Туре Clay Clay Clay Clay Clay Date Sampled 25-MAR-2008 25-MAR-2008 25-MAR-2008 25-MAR-2008 25-MAR-2008 Depth 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 1.8 Determinand Technique LOD Units Symbol Benzene GC/MS(Head 10 µg/kg Μ <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 Space)(MCERTS) EthylBenzene GC/MS(Head 10 Μ <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 µg/kg Space)(MCERTS) Meta/Para-Xylene GC/MS(Head 10 Μ <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 µg/kg Space)(MCERTS) Ortho-Xylene GC/MS(Head 10 Μ <10 <10 <10 <10 <10 µg/kg Space)(MCERTS) ⁽¹³⁾<10 (13)<10 (13)<10 (13)<10 (13)<10 Toluene GC/MS(Head 10 µg/kg Μ Space)(MCERTS)

SAL Reference: 128204 Project Site: Nelmes y Felinheli Customer Reference: 07RB239

Soil Analysed as Soil

BTEX (MCERTS)

		S	SAL Re	eference	128204 006	128204 007	128204 008	128204 010	128204 012
	Custome	r Sam	ple Re	eference	BH-1 BH-1		BH-2	BH-3	BH-4
			Test	Sample	A105	A105	A105	A105	A105
		Туре	Sand	Sand	Clay	Clay	Clay		
	Date S	Sampled	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008		
				Depth	2.5	3.4	0.9	0.9	0.9
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol					
Benzene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	М	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)								
EthylBenzene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	М	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)								
Meta/Para-Xylene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	М	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)								
Ortho-Xylene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	М	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)								
Toluene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	М	⁽¹³⁾ <10				
	Space)(MCERTS)								

SoilAnalysed as SoilBTEX (UKAS)

		S	SAL Re	eference	128204 009	128204 011	128204 013
	Custome	er Sam	ple Re	eference	BH-2	BH-3	BH-4
		A105	A105	A105			
		Fill	Fill	Fill			
		25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008			
				Depth	3.3	2.3	2.1
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol			
Benzene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	U	<10	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)						
EthylBenzene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	U	200	<10	<10
-	Space)(MCERTS)						
Meta/Para-Xylene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	U	1000	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)						
Ortho-Xylene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	U	1700	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)						
Toluene	GC/MS(Head	10	µg/kg	U	⁽¹³⁾ 10	<10	<10
	Space)(MCERTS)						

Soil Analysed as Soil

TPH (MCERTS)

					1	1	1	1	
		5	SAL Re	eference	128204 001	128204 002	128204 003	128204 004	128204 005
	Custome	r Sam	ple Re	eference	V-1	V-2	V-3	V-4	BH-1
			Test	Sample	A105	A105	A105	A105	A105
				Туре	Clay	Clay	Clay	Clay	Clay
			Date S	Sampled	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008
				Depth	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.8
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol					
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	GC/MS (Headspace)	0.1	mg/kg	Ν	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C8-C10)	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	<1	<1	<1	4	<1
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C10-C12)	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	<1	<1	1	580	<1
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C12-C16)	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	1	<1	4	3400	1
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C16-C21)	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	<1	<1	<1	310	<1
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C21-C35)	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	(13)<1	(13)<1	(13)<1	(13) 67	(13)<1
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	М	1	<1	5	4400	1

Soil Analysed as Soil

TPH (MCERTS)

					1	1		1	1
		:	SAL Re	eference	128204 006	128204 007	128204 008	128204 010	128204 012
	Custome	r San	nple Re	eference	BH-1	BH-1	BH-2	BH-3	BH-4
			Test	Sample	A105	A105	A105	A105	A105
				Туре	Sand	Sand	Clay	Clay	Clay
			Date S	Sampled	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008
				Depth	2.5	3.4	0.9	0.9	0.9
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol					
Total	GC/MS	0.1	mg/kg	N	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Petroleum	(Headspace)								
Hydrocarbons									
(C6-C8)									
Total	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	<1	<1	130	2	<1
Petroleum									
Hydrocarbons									
(C8-C10)									
Total	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	<1	<1	1900	24	2
Petroleum									
Hydrocarbons									
(C10-C12)									
Total	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	2	<1	8800	79	12
Petroleum									
Hydrocarbons									
(C12-C16)									
Total	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	<1	<1	560	7	1
Petroleum									
Hydrocarbons									
(C16-C21)	0.0/5/5				(40)	(40)			
lotal	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	(13)<1	(13)<1	31	2	6
Petroleum									
$\frac{(021-035)}{(021-035)}$		4		N.4	•	1	44000	140	
Detrole	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	IVI	2	<1	11000	110	21
∣⊓yarocarbons									

Soil Analysed as Soil TPH (UKAS)

		ę	SAL Re	eference	128204 009	128204 011	128204 013
	Custome	er San	nple Re	eference	BH-2	BH-3	BH-4
			Test	Sample	A105	A105	A105
				Туре	Fill	Fill	Fill
			Date S	Sampled	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008	25-MAR-2008
				Depth	3.3	2.3	2.1
Determinand	Technique	LOD	Units	Symbol			
Total Petroleum	GC/MS	0.1	mg/kg	N	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Hydrocarbons (C6-C8)	(Headspace)						
Total Petroleum	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	520	<1	<1
Hydrocarbons (C8-C10)							
Total Petroleum	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	1800	1	<1
Hydrocarbons (C10-C12)							
Total Petroleum	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	3900	8	2
Hydrocarbons (C12-C16)							
Total Petroleum	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	190	2	<1
Hydrocarbons (C16-C21)							
Total Petroleum	GC/FID	1	mg/kg	U	16	7	⁽¹³⁾ <1
Hydrocarbons (C21-C35)							
Total Petroleum	GC/FID	1.0	mg/kg	U	6300	18	2.0
Hydrocarbons							

Scientific Analysis Laboratories

Certificate of Analysis

Report Number:

Date of Report:

Client:

148175-1

14-Nov-08

RAW 1st Floor Offices Michael Ward Lynstock Way Lostock Bolton BL6 4SA

Client Contact: Client Job Reference: Project Site:

Date Job Received at SAL: Date Analysis Started: Mr David Turner 07RB239-I Nelmes Felinheli

7-Nov-08

11-Nov-08

The results reported relate to samples received at the laboratory

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation This report should not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory Tests covered by this certificate were conducted in accordance with SAL SOPs

Key to symbols used on this report:

W: Analysis was performed at another SAL laboratory

S: Analysis was subcontracted

N: Analysis is not UKAS accredited

U: Analysis is UKAS accredited

Report written by:

Saber Chaudhry Senior Analyst

Report checked and authorised by:

Sarah Cooke Analyst



Tube (Tenax) 148175 005 QA-1 Tube (Tenax) 148175 004 VA-4 Tube (Tenax) 148175 003 VA-3 Tube (Tenax) 148175 002 VA-2 Tube (Tenax) 148175 001 VA-1 SAL Ref. Client Ref. Type

			-							
Determinand	Method	Units	ГОР	Symbol						
Benzene	GC/MS	ng/tube	20	5	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	_
Ethylbenzene	GC/MS	ng/tube	20	∍	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	
m+p Xylene	GC/MS	ng/tube	20	∍	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	_
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	GC/MS	ng/tube	50	z	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
n-Butane	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
n-Hexane	GC/MS	ng/tube	50	z	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	
Naphthalene	GC/MS	ng/tube	20	∍	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	
o Xylene	GC/MS	ng/tube	20	5	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	
Toluene	GC/MS	ng/tube	20	5	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	
TPH (C5 - C6 aliphatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	_
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	160	100	180	_
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	420	220	1500	420	1600	
TPH (C5 - C7 aromatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	_
TPH (C12-C16 aromatic)	GC/MS	ng/tube	100	z	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	

Produced by: Scientific Analysis Laboratories Ltd. Hadfield House, Hadfield Street, Cornbrook, Manchester, M16 9FE. Page 2 of 3

				SAL Ret.	148175 001	148175 002	148175 003	148175 004	148175 005
				Client Ref.	VA-1	VA-2	VA-3	VA-4	QA-1
				Time(min)	10080	10080	10080	10080	10080
				Type	Tube (Tenax)				
Determinand	Method	Units	TOD	Svmbol					
Benzene	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.0048	<0.0048	<0.0048	<0.0048	<0.0048
Ethylbenzene	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.0043	<0.0043	<0.0043	<0.0043	<0.0043
n+p Xylene	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.0047	<0.0047	<0.0047	<0.0047	<0.0047
Methyl-tert-Butyl Ether	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
n-Butane	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
n-Hexane	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
Vaphthalene	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040
o Xylene	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.0047	<0.0047	<0.0047	<0.0047	<0.0047
Toluene	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.0045	<0.0045	<0.0045	<0.0045	<0.0045
TPH (C5 - C6 aliphatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
TPH (C6-C8 aliphatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
TPH (C8-C10 aliphatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
TPH (C10-C12 aliphatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	0.036	0.023	0.041
TPH (C12-C16 aliphatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	0.095	0.050	0.34	0.095	0.36
TPH (C5 - C7 aromatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.024	<0.024	<0.024	<0.024	<0.024
TPH (C7-C8 aromatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		Z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
TPH (C8-C10 aromatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
TPH (C10-C12 aromatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		Z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023
TPH (C12-C16 aromatic)	GC/MS	mg/m3		z	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023	<0.023

Produced by: Scientific Analysis Laboratories Ltd. Hadfield House, Hadfield Street, Cornbrook, Manchester, M16 9FE. Page 3 of 3



Scientific Analysis Laboratories

Certificate of Analysis

Hadfield House Hadfield Street Cornbrook Manchester M16 9FE Tel : 0161 874 2400 Fax : 0161 874 2404

Scientific Analysis Laboratories is a limited company registered in England and Wales (No 2514788) whose address is at Hadfield House, Hadfield Street, Manchester M16 9FE

Report Number: Supplement to 161752-1

Date of Report: 16-Apr-2009

Customer: RAW 1st Floor Offices Michael Ward Lynstock Way Lostock Bolton BL6 4SA

Customer Contact: Ms Catherine Shannon

Customer Job Reference: 07RB239 Customer Purchase Order: 9913340 Customer Site Reference: Felinheli Date Job Recieved at SAL: 09-Apr-2009 Date Analysis Started: 09-Apr-2009 Date Analysis Completed: 16-Apr-2009

The results reported relate to samples received in the laboratory

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation This report should not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of the laboratory Tests covered by this certificate were conducted in accordance with SAL SOPs





Report checked and authorised by : Mr Nicholas Moore Project Manager

Issued by :

Index to symbols used in this report

Value	Description
AR	As Received
U	Analysis is UKAS accredited
Ν	Analysis is not accredited

Notes

Supplement issued to report correct calcs.



SAL Reference: 161752 Project Site: Felinheli Customer Reference: 07RB239

Analysed as Tube (Tenax)

Tube (Tenax)

Suite A									
			SA	l Reference	161752 001	161752 002	161752 003	161752 004	161752 005
		Custo	mer Sampl	e Reference	QA-1	VA-5	VA-6	VA-7	VA-8
				Test Sample	AR	AR	AR	AR	AR
			Sampling	g Time (min)	20160	20160	20160	20160	20160
Determinand	Method		Units	Symbol					
Benzene	GC/MS (TD)	20	na	U	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
	C	C	mg/m3		<0.0024	<0.0024	<0.0024	<0.0024	< 0.0024
	С	С	ppm		<0.00076	<0.00076	<0.00076	<0.00076	<0.00076
EthylBenzene	GC/MS (TD)	20	ng	U	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
	С	С	mg/m3		<0.0022	<0.0022	<0.0022	<0.0022	<0.0022
	С	С	ppm		<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050
Meta/Para-Xylene	GC/MS (TD)	20	ng	U	25	<20	<20	25	<20
	C	C	mg/m3		0.0030	< 0.0024	< 0.0024	0.0030	< 0.0024
Mathed to st Date & Ethern		C	ppm	N	0.00023	<0.00018	<0.00018	0.00023	<0.00018
Methyi-tert-Butyi Ether	GC/MS (TD)	50	ng ma/m2	N	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
	C	C	npm		<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030
n-butane	GC/MS (TD)	100	na	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
n-hexane	GC/MS (TD)	50	ng	N	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Naphthalene	GC/MS (TD)	20	ng	U	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
	С	С	mg/m3		<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020	<0.0020
	С	С	ppm		<0.00039	<0.00039	<0.00039	<0.00039	<0.00039
Ortho-Xylene	GC/MS (TD)	20	ng	U	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
	С	С	mg/m3		<0.0024	<0.0024	<0.0024	<0.0024	<0.0024
	С	С	ppm	11	<0.00054	<0.00054	<0.00054	<0.00054	<0.00054
Toluene	GC/MS (TD)	20	ng	U	27	<20	20	27	38
	C	C	mg/m3		0.0030	<0.0023	0.0023	0.0030	0.0043
Total Potroloum Hydrocarbons (C10, C12 aliphatic)		100	ppm	N	1100	<0.00060	<100	1200	1200
	C	C	mg/m3	IN	0.12	0.018	<0.011	0.14	0.14
	C	C	ppm		0.033	0.0048	< 0.0030	0.036	0.036
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C10-C12 aromatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
	С	С	mg/m3		<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
	С	С	ppm		<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C12-C16 aliphatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	500	550	210	430	580
	С	С	mg/m3		0.056	0.062	0.024	0.048	0.065
	С	С	ppm		0.015	0.016	0.0063	0.013	0.017
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C12-C16 aromatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
	C	C	mg/m3		<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C5 C6 aliabetia)		100	ppm	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
	GC/MIS (TD)	C	ma/m3	IN	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
	c	С	ppm		< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C5-C7 aromatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
	С	С	mg/m3		<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012	<0.012
	С	С	ppm		<0.0038	<0.0038	<0.0038	<0.0038	<0.0038
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C6-C8 aliphatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	<100	<100	<100	160	<100
	С	С	mg/m3		<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	0.018	<0.011
	С	С	ppm		<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	0.0048	<0.0030
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C7-C8 aromatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
			mg/m3		<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010	<0.0010
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C8 C10 glinbatia)		100	ppm	N	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030	<0.0030 310	<0.0030
	C. C. C.	C.	mg/m3	IN I	0.033	<0.011	<0.011	0.035	0.033
	c	c	ppm		0.0087	<0,0030	<0.0030	0.0093	0.0087
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (C8-C10 aromatic)	GC/MS (TD)	100	ng	N	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
	C	С	mg/m3		<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011	<0.011
	С	С	ppm		<0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030	< 0.0030



APPENDIX E SVE MONITORING SUMMARY SHEETS

SVE MONITORING REPORT

Project Name: Project Number: Project Manager: Project Engineer: Date of Installation:

e: Nelmes - Y Felinheli ber: 07RB239 ager: Dave Turner heer: Kevin Downes Ilation: 29/04/2008

				DA	ATE .			
	29/04	/2008	30/04	/2008	08/05	5/2008	19/05	5/2008
SVE Point	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb
1	0.3	0	0.3	0	3.9	5	1.2	5
2	65.5	7	5.4	7	54.8	5	51.4	5
3	22.8	7	2.9	7	2	5	7	5
4	128	10	1.5	10	0.8	5	3.8	5
5	61.4	7	3.2	7	5.4	5	9.4	5
6	5.4	5	21.5	5	72.4	5	74.5	5
7	24.2	5	3.7	5	0.6	5	6.5	5

				DA	ATE			
	03/06	/2008	12/06	/2008	26/06	/2008	11/07	/2008
SVE Point	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb
1	8.2	5	3.4	5	0.1	0	0.4	0
2	49.5	5	28.1	5	35.4	10	16	5
3	7	5	0.6	5	1.1	10	0	0
4	3.2	5	0.1	5	0.6	10	0.6	0
5	16.1	5	17.3	5	1.9	10	1.2	10
6	70.8	5	95.1	5	37.7	10	29.7	10
7	0	5	4.5	5	1.8	10	0	0

				DA	ATE			
	23/07	/2008	25/07	/2008	07/08	8/2008	24/09	/2008
SVE Point	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb
1	0.1	0						
2	32.2	10	34.4	10	37.9	10	30.1	5
3	0	0						
4	0	off						
5	5.5	5	3.4	5	7.2	5	2	5
6	28.1	10	4.4	10	4.1	5	14	5
7	0	off	0	0	0		0	off

				DA	ATE			
	03/10	/2008	14/10	/2008				
SVE Point	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb	PID	mb
1								
2	0.2		0					
3								
4			2.3					

5	0.1	8.9			
6	0.3	17.8			
7	0.4				



SVE MONITORING REPORT

Project Name:	Nelmes - Y Felinheli
Project Number:	07RB239
Project Manager:	Dave Turner
Project Engineer:	Kevin Downes
Date of Installation:	15/08/2008

SVE Point	DATE							
	15/08/2008		24/09/2008		03/10/2008		14/10/2008	
1	2	0.5	0	0.5	0.2	off	0	off
2	1	0.5	0	0.5	0.2	off	0	off
3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	off	0	off
4	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.5	0.4	off	0	off
5	3.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.1	off	0	off
6	2.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	off	0	off
7	1	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.4	off	0	off
8	3.9	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.2	off	0	off



SVE IVIUNITURING REPORT

Project Name:	Nelmes - Y Felinheli
Project Number:	07RB239
Project Manager:	Dave Turner
Project Engineer:	Kevin Downes
Date of Installation:	29/04/2008

SVE Point	DATE								
	24/09/2008		03/10/2008		14/10/2008		23/10/2008		
1	0	5	0	off	0	off	0	off	
2	1.6	5	0.4	off	0	off	0	off	
3	0.4	5	0.6	off	0	off	0	off	
4	1.3	5	0.7	off	0	off	0	off	

